

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,691	03/29/2004	Edwin C. Bartlett	MIT-60 CON 6	1348	
75	90 04/13/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Mark J. Pandiscio			JACKSON, GARY		
Pandiscio & Par			ADTIDUT	DADED MUMER	
470 Totten Pond			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Waltham, MA 02154			3734		
		•	DATE MAILED: 04/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ア ア		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/811,691	BARTLETT, EDWIN C.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u>-</u>		
		Gary Jackson	3734			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u>	ebruary 2006.				
·	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 and 27-37 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 21-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır. `				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·).		
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
		1				
Attachmen	t(e)	#9				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 2/16/2006.	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment filed February 16, 2006. The amendment is deeming to be persuasive to overcome the rejection set forth in the Office Action mailed October 18, 2005. However, the rejection raise new issues of the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting. Claims 1-37 are pending in this application, of those, claims 1-20 and 28-37 are withdrawn from consideration.

Drawings

The proposed drawing changes acceptable. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The rejection is as follow:

Double Patenting

Claims 21-27 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,749,620.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the particular structure of the insertion device comprising an insertion tool having a substantially straight elongated body, and a flexible insertion end flexibly insertable inside a bore of a suture anchor and having properties for returning to

Art Unit: 3734

an initial configuration, said insertion tool being provided with structure demarcating the insertion end from the body to prevent the body from entering the bore, a diameter of the insertion end being sufficient to provide secure fit within the bore of the suture anchor, and the diameter of the demarcating structure being larger than the bore to limit movement of the insertion end through the bore; and a substantially rigid suture anchor having a bore through which said the insertion end of said insertion tool is positionable; wherein said suture anchor is shaped and the bore is oriented such that the insertion end of said insertion tool the bends away from its initial configuration during insertion of said suture anchor through cortical bone tissue and returns to its initial configuration when said suture anchor is positioned within cancellous bone tissue is already disclosed and covered in the patent. The recitation of the demarcating structure is covered in the patent as a thickened area between the main body and insertion end. Thus, if allowed this claim would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application that matured into a patent.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140

Application/Control Number: 10/811,691

Art Unit: 3734

F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The examiner believes this action is proper and therefore made final.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,691

Art Unit: 3734

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Jackson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4697. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30 am to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Jackson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3734

Jay Jackson

gj April 10, 2006